#### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



### **Complex chemical signals dictate Ah receptor activation** through the gut-lung axis

Fangcong Dong<sup>1</sup> | Iain A. Murray<sup>1</sup> | Andrew Annalora<sup>2</sup> | Denise M. Coslo<sup>1</sup> | Dhimant Desai<sup>3</sup> | Krishne Gowda<sup>3</sup> | Jian Yang<sup>4</sup> | Dingbowen Wang<sup>4</sup> | Imhoi Koo<sup>1</sup> | Fuhua Hao<sup>1</sup> | Shantu G. Amin<sup>3</sup> | Andrew D. Patterson<sup>1</sup> Craig Marcus<sup>2</sup> | Gary H. Perdew<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Center for Molecular Toxicology and Carcinogenesis, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Environmental and Molecular Toxicology, Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacology, Penn State College of Medicine, Hershey, Pennsylvania, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biomedical Engineering, Materials Research Institute, The Huck Institutes of the Life Sciences, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, USA

#### Correspondence

Gary H. Perdew, Department of Veterinary and Biomedical Sciences, Center for Molecular Toxicology and Carcinogenesis, Penn State University, 309 LSB, CMTC, University Park, PA 16801, USA. Email: ghp2@psu.edu

#### Funding information

HHS | NIH | National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), Grant/Award Number: ES028244 and ES028288; HHS | NIH | Office of Extramural Research, National Institutes of Health (OER), Grant/Award Number: S10OD021750

### Abstract

The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) mediates intestinal barrier homeostasis. Many AHR ligands are also CYP1A1/1B1 substrates, which can result in rapid clearance within the intestinal tract, limiting systemic exposure and subsequent AHR activation. This led us to the hypothesis that there are dietary substrates of CYP1A1/1B1 that functionally increase the half-life of potent AHR ligands. We examined the potential of urolithin A (UroA), a gut bacterial metabolite of ellagitannins, as a CYP1A1/1B1 substrate to enhance AHR activity in vivo. UroA is a competitive substrate for CYP1A1/1B1 in an in vitro competition assay. A broccoli-containing diet promotes the gastric formation of the potent hydrophobic AHR ligand and CYP1A1/1B1 substrate, 5,11-dihydroindolo[3,2-b]carbazole (ICZ). In mice, dietary exposure to UroA in a 10% broccoli diet led to a coordinated increase in duodenal, cardiac, and pulmonary AHR activity, but no increase in activity in the liver. Thus, CYP1A1 dietary competitive substrates can lead to enhanced systemic AHR ligand distribution from the gut, likely through the lymphatic system, increasing AHR activation in key barrier tissues. Finally, this report will lead to a reassessment of the dynamics of distribution of other hydrophobic chemicals present in the diet.

Abbreviations: 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ, 5H, 11H-indolo[3,2b]carbazole-2,8-diol; 2-hydroxy-ICZ, 5H11H-indolo[3,2b]carbazol-2-ol; AHR, Aryl hydrocarbon receptor; GNF351, N-(2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl)-9-isopropyl-2-(5-methylpyridin-3-yl)-9H-purin-6-amine; ICZ, 5,11-dihydroindolo[3,2-b] carbazole; TCDD, 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin; UroA, urolithin A; UroC, urolithin C; αNF, α-naphthoflavone.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2023 The Authors. The FASEB Journal published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology.

#### FASEBJournal 1 **INTRODUCTION**

The aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR) is the only member of the basic-helix-loop/helix Per-Arnt-Sim family of proteins that is directly activated by low molecular weight molecules.<sup>1</sup> Upon binding an agonist, the AHR undergoes a conformational change that results in the translocation of the AHR bound to a heat shock protein complex into the nucleus.<sup>2</sup> In the nucleus, the AHR complex encounters ARNT and forms a heterodimer capable of binding to dioxin-response elements in various promoter regions, resulting in altered transcription. The first identified AHR target gene was CYP1A1 that encodes the cytochrome P450 1A1 protein and its expression is largely dependent on AHR activation. What followed was the examination of the AHR as a xenobiotic receptor that responds to the presence of halogenated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, such as the tumor promoter 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodib enzo-p-dioxin (TCDD). More recent studies, with the aid of  $Ahr^{-/-}$  mice, have shown that the AHR is involved in a myriad of physiologic responses, including regulating immune and epithelial cell differentiation responses in barrier tissues, barrier protein production, and reproductive success.<sup>3–7</sup> Importantly, AHR ligands can attenuate chemical and microbial insults, suggesting a possible therapeutic potential.<sup>8-10</sup> One particularly promising study illustrated that dietary supplementation with a naturally occurring precursor of an AHR ligand, indole-3-carbinol, significantly diminishes disease caused by Clostridium dif*ficile* infection.<sup>11</sup>

Perhaps, the most potent source of dietary AHR agonists is through the consumption of cruciferous vegetables, which contain indologlucosinolates. Upon disruption of plant tissue, this phytochemical enzymatically breaks down into indole-3-carbinol, which undergoes acid condensation in the stomach. A variety of metabolites are formed, with the most potent AHR agonist being indolo[3,2-b]carbazole (ICZ). Other metabolites are either not AHR ligands or are very weak agonist.<sup>12</sup> The consumption of broccoli in a rodent diet at 15% (w/w) leads to activation of the AHR and subsequent induction of Cyp1a1 in the intestinal epithelium. Furthermore, the presence of broccoli in the diet attenuates dextran sodium sulfatemediated colonic injury in an AHR-dependent manner.<sup>13</sup> This underscores the potential for dietary sources of AHR ligands to modulate intestinal health. Urolithins have been identified as dietary antagonists for the human AHR but not for the mouse AHR, while they are inhibitors or substrates for CYP1A1/1B1 enzymatic activity in both species.<sup>14,15</sup> In contrast, urolithins appear to be very weak activators for the mouse AHR, and the mechanism of this activation has not been determined.<sup>15</sup> Urolithins are generated through gut microbial metabolism of ellagitannins (ETs) and ellagic acid (EA). These phytochemicals are found in a variety of fruits and nuts, such as walnuts, raspberries, pomegranates, and strawberries.<sup>16,17</sup> Because of the absorption characteristics of the various products of ETs, urolithins are the likely source of the various pharmacologic properties attributed to the consumption of ETs.<sup>17</sup>

Several AHR ligands are reported to be metabolized by CYP1A1/1B1 to hydroxylated metabolites that can then undergo conjugation to generate a more polar metabolite that can be effectively eliminated. Perhaps, the most studied example of this are polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, such as the carcinogen, benzo(a)pyrene.<sup>18</sup> More recently, the endogenous AHR ligand 6-formylindolo[3,2b]carbazole (FICZ) also undergoes this same metabolic fate.<sup>19</sup> This ability of AHR activation leading to the metabolism of AHR ligands results in a negative feedback loop that downregulates AHR activity. Interestingly, cultured cells that essentially do not express the AHR can accumulate AHR ligands. While co-expression of CYP1A1 and AHR leads to downregulation of AHR activity compared with expression of AHR alone.<sup>20</sup> There is compelling evidence that this negative feedback loop occurs in the intestinal epithelium, which can lead to the prevention of intestinal AHR activity.<sup>21</sup> Indeed, constitutive overexpression of CYP1A1 in the intestinal epithelium leads to ablation of AHR transcriptional activity, indicating that AHR ligands are present in the intestinal tract that are also CYP1A1 substrates. Thus, one possible mechanism of enhanced AHR activation is through the presence of competitive substrates or inhibitors of CYP1A1/1B1 that attenuates the metabolism of a given AHR ligand within the intestinal tract, leading to systemic AHR activation. However, whether this occurs in vivo due to the presence of dietary CYP1A1/1B1 inhibitors/substrates has not been established. In this study, we determined that urolithins can act as competitive CYP1A1 substrates, increasing the half-life of the potent AHR ligand 5,11-dihydroindolo-[3,2-b]-carb azole (ICZ), which enhances AHR activation in the small intestine, thus allowing "escape" of an AHR ligand from the intestinal tract and subsequent activation of the AHR in the lung, establishing a gut-lung axis.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS** 2

#### 2.1 **Materials**

Urolithin A (UroA) was synthesized as described in the supplemental information. All other urolithins were kindly provided by Francisco A. Tomás-Barberán (CEBAS-CSIC, Spain). α-naphthoflavone  $(\alpha - NF)$ was purchased from Indofine Chemical Company (Hillsborough, NJ). TCDD was obtained from Dr.

Stephen Safe (Texas A&M University). Pluronic L-81 was provided by Patrick Tso (University of Cincinnati). The broccoli cultivar Imperial was provided by John Esslinger and Brian Campbell, subsequently freezedried, ground to a powder, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. ICZ was obtained from Matrix Scientific (Columbus, SC) and N-(2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl)-9-isopropyl-2-(5-methylpyridin-3-yl)-9H-purin-6-amine (GNF351) was synthesized as previously described.<sup>22</sup> 5H, 11H-indolo[3,2-b]carbazol-2-ol (2-hydroxy-ICZ) and 5H, 11H-indolo[3,2-b] carbazole-2,8-diol (2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ) were synthesized as described in Supplemental Methods.

# 2.2 | Broccoli glucobrassicin quantification

The concentration of glucobrassicin in the broccoli cultivar Imperial was determined and was essentially the same as in the previously examined cultivar Lieutenant (1.6  $\mu$ moles/g dry weight).<sup>13</sup>

### 2.3 | Mouse models

All mouse experiments were conducted at The Pennsylvania State University, University Park campus. All procedures were performed with approval and under the support of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at The Pennsylvania State University. C57BL6/J ( $Ahr^{+/+}$ , mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME), congenic  $Ahr^{fl/fl}$ , with the  $Ahr^{b}$  allele and  $Vil^{Cre}Ahr^{fl/fl}$  mice were bred in-house and maintained on a chow diet.<sup>23</sup>  $Ahr^{+/-}$  and  $Ahr^{-/-}$  mice were kindly provided by Dr. Christopher Bradfield (University of Wisconsin) and bread in-house.

### 2.4 | Mouse studies

C57BL6/J mice were obtained from Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME), bred in-house and maintained on a chow diet and were used in all experiments unless otherwise specified. Mice were placed on a semi-purified AIN-93G diet (Dyets Inc., Bethlehem, PA) for 7 days prior to the initiation of experimental treatments. AIN-93G diet was ground to a fine powder in a blender and mixed with 10% freeze-dried broccoli. The composition of the semipurified AIN-93G diet was previously described.<sup>13</sup> UroA was added at 4 mg/g of diet where appropriate. Germ-free C57BL6/J mice were bred and maintained at the Penn State Gnotobiotic Facility on a sterile chow diet, sterile experimental diets were prepared by Dyets, Inc. **FASEB** Journa

# 2.5 | Pluronic L-81-mediated disruption of small intestinal lymphatic uptake

Female C57BL6/J mice were maintained with ad libitum access to a semi-synthetic diet (AIN93G) and water for 1 week. On the day of treatment, mice were food restricted for 3 h (18:00–21:00 h) prior to oral administration of either 0.2 mL water (vehicle) or 5% (v/v) Pluronic L-81. After 30 min, mice were orally administered by gavage with 0.2 mL broccoli/water slurry (100 mg/mL freeze-dried broccoli) or 0.2 mL broccoli/water slurry supplemented with 5% Pluronic L-81. Mice were immediately returned to cages with overnight ad libitum access to semi-synthetic diet (AIN93G) and water. At 10:00 h, mice were euthanized and indicated tissues harvested for subsequent gene expression analysis.

### 2.6 | Cell culture

Hepa 1 and Caco2 cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection and maintained in  $\alpha$ -minimal essential medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 10% or 15% fetal bovine serum (Gemni Bio-Products, West Sacramento, CA), respectively, and 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin added. Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 95% air and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>.

### 2.7 | CYP1A1/1B1 activity assay

Hepa 1 cells were treated with 5nM TCDD for 24h to increase CYP1A1 protein levels. Microsomes were isolated from cells washed with phosphate-buffered saline, trypsinized, and pelleted by centrifugation at 100×g for 3 min. The cell pellet was then washed with phosphatebuffered saline, pelleted, and resuspended in 0.25 M sucrose, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH7.5), with protease inhibitors. The cells were manually homogenized in a Dura-Grind Dounce-Type homogenizer (Wheaton). The cell homogenate was centrifuged at 10000×g for 10 min at 4°C and the supernatant was transferred and centrifuged at 42000×g for 90 min at 4°C. The resulting microsomal pellet was resuspended in the homogenization buffer, and the protein concentration was determined. Microsomes were stored at -80°C for CYP1A1 activity assay. The P450-Glo CYP1A1/1B1 assay kit and NADPH regeneration system and luciferase assay kit were purchased from Promega (Promega, Madison, WI) and utilized under the following conditions: 12.5 µL of CYP reaction mixture including microsomes, Luciferin-CEE and potassium phosphate buffer, and 12.5 µL of test compound in distilled water

## **FASEB** Journal

were combined and preincubated at 37°C for 10 min in white 96-well plate. The reactions were initiated by adding an equal volume of NADPH regeneration system (25  $\mu$ L) and placed at 37°C for 25 min. A final volume of 50  $\mu$ L of reactions was performed in triplicate and contained 10  $\mu$ g of isolated microsomes in 100 mM K<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (pH7.4) buffer, 30  $\mu$ M Luciferin-CEE, test compound, and NADPH regeneration system. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 50  $\mu$ L of luciferin detection reagent and incubated at 37°C for 10 min. Luminescence was measured using a luminometer, and a control without microsomes was used to measure the background. Relative CYP1A1 activity was expressed as the percentage of the luminescence after incubation with vehicle.

# 2.8 | CYP1A1/1B1 assays coupled to MS analysis

Forty micrograms of microsomes isolated from Hepa 1 cells treated with 5 nM TCDD for 24 h, or lung microsomes (100 µg) from mice on the semi-purified diet, were incubated with various compounds and incubation times as indicated. The reactions were carried out at 37°C in an incubator in the presence of NADPH regeneration system and terminated by the addition of 800 µL ice-cold 100% methanol (v/v) containing 1 µM chlorpropamide as internal standard. Then mixtures were kept at  $-20^{\circ}$ C for 30 min followed by centrifugation at 12000×g for 20 min at 4°C. The supernatants were dried under vacuum and reconstituted in 60 µL 50% methanol (v/v). After centrifugation, supernatants were transferred to autosampler vials for LC–MS/MS analysis as described in Supplemental Methods.

# 2.9 | RNA isolation and real-time quantitative PCR

Tissues were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored in -80°C. Samples were placed in TRI Reagent (Sigma), and homogenized (6500 rpm, 30 s) with 10–15 1 mm silica/zirconia beads (Biospec Products) using a BeadBlaster-24 homogenizer (Benchmark) to isolate RNA. Then re-purified using RNeasy columns (Qiagen) following manufacturers' protocols. Purified total RNA was used to generate cDNA using a High-Capacity cDNA reverse transcriptase kit (Applied Biosystems) following the manufacturers' protocol. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using primers as previously described and was normalized to *Actb.*<sup>13</sup> Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using Perfecta SYBR green (QuantaBio) and the following cycling conditions (95°C, 3 min; 40 cycles: 95°C, 30 s; 58°C,

20 s, 72°C, 45 s; 72°C, 2 min). Melt-curve analysis revealed a single peak consistent with a single product.

### 2.10 | Capillary immunoblotting analysis

Microsomes were diluted to 0.5 mg/mL in 0.1X Sample Buffer (Protein Simple, San Jose, CA) and subjected to WES<sup>™</sup> capillary system analysis. The primary antibody for CYP1A1 (Proteintech, 13241, 1:100) was visualized with the WES anti-rabbit secondary HRP-conjugated antibody (Protein Simple). As a loading control for normalization, total protein was determined using Total Protein Detection Module (protein Simple, DM-TP01). For quantification, the chemiluminescence peak area of CYP1A1 was normalized to the total protein peak area for each sample.

### 2.11 Computational docking analysis

The ligand binding affinity and target oxidation sites for UroA and ICZ were investigated using Autodock 4.2 and Autodock Vina, for both human and mouse models of CYP1A1.<sup>24–26</sup> The crystal structure of CYP1A1 [4I8V; copy  $A^{27}$ ] was used to prepare a docking model for the human enzyme, and as a template for a mouse homology model, which was developed using the SWISS-MODEL server.<sup>28</sup> All docking models were validated for overall quality, clashes, and outliers using SAVESv6.0 server (https:// saves.mbi.ucla.edu/) $^{29-31}$  and ChimeraX $^{32}$  using the ISOLDE plugin.<sup>33</sup> Crystal structure conformational bias was also reduced in a substrate-free, heme-bound homology model of mouse CYP1A1 via MD simulation, using a simulated annealing and energy minimization routine (CHARMM36 force field) in VMD (QwikMD (NAMD); advanced run; standard settings).<sup>34–36</sup>

Autodock 4.2 was run using standard settings, using the Genetic Algorithm search parameter (long evaluations, 20–100 GA runs) as previously described.<sup>37</sup> Grid box parameters were generated in Autogrid 4 for both Autodock 4.2 and Autodock Vina, using a 60–80Å3 grid centered on the heme center. Docking results were analyzed using Autodock 4.2, The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.5.2 (Schrödinger, LLC) and LigRMSD 1.0.<sup>38,39</sup> Active site cavity volumes of docking models were analyzed and compared using CAVER 3.0.<sup>40</sup>

### 2.12 | Statistical analysis

Values were expressed as mean  $\pm$  SEM. Data were compared using either Student's *t* test or one-way analysis

agonist in chow is not known. Therefore, a broccoli diet was utilized, which leads to the gastric formation of ICZ promoting AHR activation.<sup>13</sup> ICZ is a potent hydrophobic planar ligand that would likely associate with lipid micelles within an aqueous environment. A 10% broccoli diet was fed to Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup> and Vil<sup>Cre</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup> for 3 days and tissues were isolated. The level of Cyp1a1 expression was determined and the lack of AHR expression in the intestinal tract led to enhanced Cyp1a1 expression in the lung (5-fold), heart (7-fold), and kidney (2-fold) (Figure 1C). The observed increase in cardiac Cvp1a1 observed in *Vil<sup>Cre</sup>Ahr*<sup>fl/fl</sup> is consistent with lymphatic drainage of the thoracic duct, which then enters the heart (Figure 1C). In contrast, there was no significant increase in Cyp1a1 in the liver and spleen. 3.3

### Urolithins are CYP1A1 inhibitors or competitive substrates

Several reports in the literature suggest that the presence of CYP1A1/1B1 substrates/inhibitors could increase the half-life of AHR ligands that are metabolized by CYP1A1/1B1. However, whether common dietary phytochemicals can modulate AHR activity in vivo through modulating CYP1A1/1B1 metabolism has not been firmly established. In our previous studies, UroA in mouse hepatoma cells appeared to be a very weak activator of the mouse AHR, although the mechanism of activation was not determined.<sup>15</sup> In addition, as tricyclic compounds, urolithins could be CYP1A1 substrates. Using an in vitro microsomal CYP1A1/1B1 assay system, the ability of six urolithins to inhibit the metabolism of luciferin-CEE, a specific CYP1A1 substrate, was examined (Figure 2A). To have a significant level of CYP1A1 enzyme, cells were pretreated for 24 h with the prototypical AHR agonist TCDD prior to isolating microsomes. The expression of microsomal CYP1A1 was confirmed by WES protein analysis in both Caco2 and Hepa 1 microsome fractions (Figure S1). At 5µM all urolithins tested were capable of attenuating CYP1A1 mediated metabolism of luciferin-CEE, with UroA, B, C, and D exhibiting the most potent activity. Urolithin A, perhaps the most abundant urolithin, could inhibit CYP1A1/1B1 metabolism in both the Caco2 (human) and Hepa 1 (mouse) microsomal assay system, yielding similar IC<sub>50</sub> values (Figure 2B,C). To provide context to the relative potency of UroA as a competitive substrate/inhibitor of CYP1A1/1B1, UroA was compared with the known CYP1A1 substrate  $\alpha$ -NF, and UroA exhibited ~9-fold greater potency (Figure S2). LC-MS/MS analysis confirmed that microsomal assays metabolize luciferin-CEE to luciferin (Figure S3).

of variance with Tukey multiple comparison post-test in GraphPad Prism 9.1.1 (GraphPad Software, Inc, La Jolla, CA, USA) to establish statistical significance between different groups. The value of p < .05 was considered statistically significant (\*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*p < .001, \*\*\*\*p < .0001). No data were excluded. All experiments were repeated at least twice.

#### 3 RESULTS

#### Dietary phytochemicals are 3.1 required for both intestinal and pulmonary **AHR** activity

 $Ahr^{+/+}$  mice were fed either a standard chow or semipurified AIN93G diet and the level of duodenum, lung, and liver *Cyp1a1* expression was determined (Figure 1A). The semi-purified diet is largely devoid of phytochemicals compared with the whole plant-rich chow diet. *Cyp1a1* expression was just above the level of detection in mice on a semi-purified diet, indicating a lack of intestinal and lung AHR activity in the context of a diet devoid of phytochemicals. In contrast, a significant level of duodenal and pulmonary Cyp1a1 expression was observed in mice on a chow diet, the source of AHR ligands in the chow is not known. Cyp1a1 was not detected in  $Ahr^{-/-}$  duodenal and pulmonary tissues, indicating the requirement for AHR expression to mediate Cyp1a1 expression, thus confirming that *Cyp1a1* is an appropriate marker of AHR activity.

### 3.2 | Lack of AHR expression in the intestinal epithelium increases pulmonary Cyp1a1 expression

The level of *Cyp1a1* expression was determined in control Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup> and enterocyte-specific conditional Ahr knockout *Vil<sup>Cre</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup>* mice fed a chow diet. *Cvp1a1* expression was not detected in the duodenum of mice that lack enterocyte AHR expression, indicating that AHR-mediated Cyp1a1 expression is largely restricted to duodenal enterocytes (Figure 1B). In lung, an increase in Cyp1a1 was observed in the lung of *Vil<sup>Cre</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup>* compared with in Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice, while no significant difference in Cyp1a1 expression was observed in the liver. This would support the concept that an AHR agonist that "escapes" CYP1A1 metabolism in the intestinal tract can lead to tissuespecific extra-intestinal AHR activation. One hypothesis that could explain these results is that an AHR agonist enters the lymphatic system, however, the identity of AHR



**FIGURE 1** Lack of intestinal CYP1A1 expression alters systemic AHR activation in a tissue-specific manner. (A) Duodenal, pulmonary, and hepatic *Cyp1a1* expression in  $Ahr^{+/+}$  mice fed either a chow or semi-purified diet were compared with  $Ahr^{-/-}$  mice fed a chow diet. **P** stands for semi-purified diet AIN-93G. (B) Duodenal, pulmonary, and hepatic *Cyp1a1* expression in  $Ahr^{fl/fl}$  and  $Vil^{Cre}Ahr^{b/fl/fl}$  mice on a chow diet. (C) Tissue-specific expression of *Cyp1a1* in  $Ahr^{fl/fl}$  and  $Vil^{Cre}Ahr^{fl/fl}$  mice on a 10% broccoli diet for three days. *Cyp1a1* mRNA levels were normalized to *Actb*. Statistical difference was analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons (A) and two-tailed unpaired Student's *t* tests (B and C). Data are presented as mean + SEM (error bars). \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*\*p < .0001.

# 3.4 | Predictive molecular modeling of UroA metabolism by CYP1A1 orthologs

Next, we wanted to test whether UroA is an inhibitor or a substrate for CYP1A1. To guide the search for a possible CYP1A1-dependent metabolite of UroA, we utilized a computational docking approach. The substrate binding properties of UroA were studied within the active site cavity of both human and mouse cytochrome P450 1A1 (CYP1A1) using Autodock 4.2 and Autodock Vina. A homology model for mouse CYP1A1 (mCYP1A1) was developed using the crystal structure of human CYP1A1 (PDB:4I8V; see Methods). Structure-based models of both human and mouse orthologs were used to compare species-specific differences in UroA substrate recognition. MD simulation was also utilized to reduce crystallographic bias in the CYP1A1 active site, as needed to dock UroA in close proximity to the heme center (see methods). Primary amino acid sequence alignments, substrate recognition sequence (SRS) motif, and structural analysis of mouse and human CYP1A1 have also been provided for additional context in Figure S4. Using Autodock 4.2, we calculated that UroA binds mouse and human CYP1A1 with nanomolar affinity (hCYP1A1: 152nM; -9.3kcal/mol and mCYP1A1: 191nM; -9.17 kcal/mol; see Table S1), within an allosteric binding site, above the heme center (Figure 2D). An alternative, lower energy-binding solution for UroA, in the hCYP1A1 model, was identified using the related program Autodock Vina (Figure 2E), which docked the substrate ~2.0Å closer to the heme center than Autodock 4.2. Comparative docking analysis performed with the mCYP1A1 homology model revealed a similar docking pose for UroA, harmonizing docking results for mouse CYP1A1 (Figure 3F) compared with the human models of CYP1A1 (Figure 3D). Autodock Vina also predicted nearly identical affinity with respect to the dissociation-binding constants  $(K_D)$  for UroA in both mouse and human models of CYP1A1 (hCYP1A1: 17nM, -10.9 kcal/mol, mCYP1A1: 10 nM, -10.6 kcal/mol, see Table S1). No Autodock 4.2 or Vina-based docking solutions positioned the putative C2 target carbon of UroA closer than 7.4 Å to the heme iron, the likely site for hydroxylation. To explore whether substrate and crystal-lattice conformational bias in the crystal structure of CYP1A1 (PDB: 4I8V, copy A) constrained UroA docking to the observed allosteric pocket, we performed an MD simulation-based energy minimization and simulated annealing of our mouse CYP1A1

homology model, in the absence of the crystallographic substrate  $\alpha$ -NF. As shown in Figure 3G, stripped of native electrostatic interaction biased for  $\alpha$ -NF binding, UroA was free to bind deeper into the mouse CYP1A1 active site and position the target C2 carbon in a catalytically favorable position within 4.6Å of the heme center. While this pose is most predictive of known substrate metabolism, there was a significant trade-off in UroA binding energy deeper in the MD-simulated pocket (578nM; -8.5kcal/mol; Autodock Vina) as substrate affinity was reduced ~30–50 fold compared with the crystal structure-based models of mouse and human CYP1A1. These results suggest that the most likely hydroxylated UroA product would be urolithin C (UroC).

# 3.5 | UroA undergoes microsomal metabolism to UroC

Hepa 1 microsomal metabolism assays containing UroA were extracted and analyzed by LC–MS/MS. After incubation with microsomes a m/z 243.0297 peak was observed at 6.6 min, identical to the UroC standard (Figure 2H). Comparison of the MS/MS spectra for the 6.6 min peak from the microsomal incubation and authentic standard of UroC revealed a similar fragmentation pattern, which confirms that UroA is hydroxylated by CYP1A1 to form UroC with a dot and reverse spectrum similarity scores calculated as 912.5 (dot) and 975.4 (reverse-dot) out of 1000, respectively (Figure 2I and Figure S5).<sup>41</sup> In addition, incubation of UroA with Hepa 1 microsomes resulted in a time-dependent increase in UroC (Figure 2J,K). This data establish that UroA is a CYP1A1 substrate and may effectively compete with other CYP1A1 substrates.

### 3.6 Dietary UroA promotes intestinal and extra-intestinal CYP1A1 expression and microsomal activity

To explore the influence of dietary UroA on AHR activation potential, mice were fed UroA for 3 days in a semipurified diet (Figure 3A). UroA consumption led to a similar marked increase in *Cyp1a1* expression in the duodenum and lung, but no significant difference was observed in the liver. Lung microsomes were isolated from mice fed either a semi-purified or semi-purified UroA



### ASEB Journal ⊥

9 of 19

FIGURE 2 Urolithins are CYP1A1 substrates/inhibitors. (A) Six urolithins were co-incubated with luciferin-CEE CYP1A1 substrate in a Hepa 1 microsomal assay and compared with a-NF. (B and C) Dose-dependent inhibition of luciferin-CEE metabolism by UroA in the Hepa 1 or Caco2 microsomal assay system. (D) UroA binding properties in CYP1A1 were studied using both Autodock 4.2 and Autodock Vina. Low-energy Autodock 4.2 poses show UroA binds human CYP1A1 (hCYP1A1) with nanomolar affinity (hCYP1A1: 151 nM, -9.3 kcal/ mol; white stick) in an allosteric site near the top of the active site positioning the C2 carbon 10.3 Å from the heme center. This result is shown superimposed on a similar, low-energy pose obtained for UroA in a mouse CYP1A1 (mCYP1A1) homology model (mCYP1A1: 191 nM, -9.17 kcal/mol; transparent green stick) using Autodock 4.2. Bond distances between UroA and species-specific, active site residues Threonine-111 (T111) and Serine-116 (S116) are shown (in Å; yellow dotted line). (E) When UroA binding was studied in the human enzyme using a more advanced program, Autodock Vina, a lower energy docking pose was identified (hCYP1A1: 17nM; -10.9 kcal/mol; white stick) that positions the C2 carbon 7.4 Å from the heme center. Autodock Vina identified an alternate set of interactions between UroA and the B' helix residue S116 in hCYP1A1, as shown. (F) Comparative Autodock Vina analysis in the mCYP1A1 homology model identified the same, low energy pose for UroA observed in the hCYP1A1 model (see Figure 2E), positioning the substrate nearly 3.0 Å closer to the heme center than Autodock 4.2 (mCYP1A1: 191 nM, -10.6 kcal/mol; green stick). The positions of key, mouse-specific active site residues Serine-115 (S115), Threonine-120 (T120), Threonine-126 (T126), Asparagine-226 (N226), Asparagine-259 (N259), and Aspartate-317 (D317) are shown. UroA from the mouse model (green stick) is shown superimposed on the result from the human structural model (in a transparent white stick); minor repositioning of hydroxyl groups can be observed between the two nearly identical solutions. Here, the C2 target carbon remains closest to the heme iron (7.6 Å) but the absolute binding energy was reduced slightly. (G) An unbiased, MD simulation-based model of mouse CYP1A1 was also prepared to remove crystallographic artifacts of  $\alpha$ -naphthaflavone binding from our homology model. After the relaxation of the backbone and energy minimization of the substrate-free active site, UroA docks within 4.6 Å from the heme center, which is well-positioned for target-specific oxidation of the C2 carbon. Reduced binding affinity was predicted for UroA in the unbiased MD model of CYP1A1 (-8.5 kcal/mol; mCYP1A1; Autodock Vina; white stick) compared with our best structurebased model (-10.9 kcal/mol; hCYP1A1; Autodock Vina; red stick), but similar structural features of active site recognition were highlighted using both biased and unbiased models of the CYP1A1 active site. (H) Microsomes isolated from TCDD-treated Hepa1 cells were utilized an in vitro CYP1A1/1B1 metabolism of UroA to UroC. The representative selected ion chromatogram (XIC) of UroC compared with authentic standards; (I) MS/MS spectral similarity plot of authentic UroC compared with putative UroC generated in microsomal assays. (J) Structural depiction of reaction route of UroC produced from UroA. (K) UroC was quantitated by LC-MS/MS from UroA/microsomal incubations in a time-dependent manner. Statistical difference was analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons. Data are presented as mean + SEM (error bars). \**p* < .05, \*\**p* < .01, \*\*\*\**p* < .0001.

diet, subjected to WES protein analysis of CYP1A1 levels, and normalized to total protein (Figure 3B, Figure S6A). The addition of UroA to the diet resulted in a 9-fold increase in CYP1A1 protein levels (Figure 3C). Next, the ability of lung microsomes to metabolize UroA to UroC was examined in an in vitro microsomal P450 metabolism assay. Lung microsomes from mice fed UroA demonstrated an increased capacity to metabolize UroA to UroC (Figure 3D–F).

### 3.7 | Bacteria, dietary indole-3carbinol, and broccoli fail to influence the tissue-specific pattern of AHR activation

To test whether bacteria either in the lung or gut play a role in these observations, germ-free  $Ahr^{+/+}$  mice on a semi-purified diet were switched to the same diet with UroA for 3 days and results were similar to those observed in conventional mice in Figure 3A (Figure 4A). This would suggest that microorganisms in the gut do not affect the pattern of activation. Interestingly, germ-free  $Ahr^{+/+}$  mice fed a semi-purified diet with indole-3-carbinol, which undergoes acid condensation to ICZ in the stomach, revealed *Cyp1a1* induction in the duodenum and lung, but not in the liver (Figure 4A). To provide relevance to these

observations and allow the examination of a possible mechanism of UroA-mediated increase in AHR activation, mice were fed a semi-purified diet with 10% broccoli for 1 week followed by 3 days with or without UroA. The level of *Cyp1a1* expression was examined in anatomical segments of the intestinal tract, and a significant increase in Cyp1a1 expression was observed in the duodenum, jejunum, ileum, and lung when fed UroA in the broccoli diet (Figure 4B,C). In contrast, the presence of UroA in a broccoli diet failed to alter *Cyp1a1* expression in the colon, spleen, or liver. The activation of Cyp1a1 from cruciferous vegetable consumption appears to be due to the in situ production of ICZ in the stomach.<sup>12,42</sup> The concentration of UroA in portal blood from broccoli and UroA-fed conventional mice was assessed by LC-MS/MS analysis and determined to have a mean concentration of ~300 nM (Figure S6B), a level that is unlikely to directly influence AHR activation as a ligand.<sup>15</sup>

### 3.8 | ICZ inhibits CYP1A1/1B1 metabolism in vitro

ICZ was added to the CYP1A1/1B1 mouse microsomal assay system and inhibited luciferin-CEE substrate metabolism at an  $IC_{50}$  of 616 nM (Figure S7A–C). Next. we wanted



**FIGURE 3** Dietary UroA increases pulmonary CYP1A1 expression. (A)  $Ahr^{+/+}$  mice were fed a semi-purified diet for 1 week followed by 3 days on either a semi-purified diet or the same diet + UroA. The level of Cyp1a1 expression was determined in duodenal, pulmonary, and hepatic tissues. P stands for semi-purified diet AIN-93G. (B) Lung microsomes were isolated from lungs fed the same diets in panel A and the level of CYP1A1 was examined using the WES system. The upper and lower bands are non-specific binding. (C) Quantitation of the WES data in panel B. (D) Scheme for in vitro microsomal assay. (E and F) Representative LC–MS/MS analysis of UroC levels after UroA incubation with lung microsomes described in panel B. *Cyp1a1* mRNA levels were normalized to *Actb*. Statistical difference was analyzed using two-tailed unpaired Student's *t* tests (A, B, and F). Data are presented as mean + SEM (error bars). \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*\*p < .001.

to determine whether further explore ICZ as a CYP1A1/1B1 substrate or inhibitor using a modeling approach.

# 3.9 | Predictive molecular modeling of relative affinity of ICZ vs. UroA for CYP1A1 catalytic site

ICZ is a hydrophobic molecule produced in the stomach upon consumption of broccoli that has limited solubility in an aqueous microsomal assay system. Therefore, to gain a better understanding of relative differences in the CYP1A1/1B1 metabolic turnover of ICZ and UroA, we turned to molecular modeling utilizing the crystal structures of the CYP1A1/1B1 catalytic domain. The nature of competitive binding between UroA, and the AhR ligand ICZ, was explored using docking stimulations. ICZ binds hCYP1A1 (-11kcal/mol; 8nM) and mCYP1A1 (-11.3 kcal/mol; 84 nM) models in a common pose with higher affinity than UroA in any conformation, when using Autodock 4.2 (Figure 5A; Table S1). Similar docking poses for ICZ were obtained using Autodock Vina, but picomolar level binding affinity was predicted (hCYP1A1: 49 pM; -14.1 kcal/mol); mouse (135 pM; -13.5 kcal/mol). In CYP1A1, hydrophobic interactions between ICZ and conserved β1-4 loop residue Valine-386 (V386) and  $\beta$ 4-1/4-2 loop residue Theonine-501 (T501) regulate the docking regiospecificity of ICZ. ICZ binds CYP1A1 in a single robust conformation that positions the C2 carbon 4.5 Å from the heme center. Here, ICZ would superimpose on the docking coordinates of the two, lowest energy UroA docking solutions obtained with structure-based models of CYP1A1 (Figure 5B). This observation, paired with the picomolar affinity, strongly implies that ICZ will consistently outcompete UroA for binding in CYP1A1's proximal active site, potentially inhibiting its co-metabolism.

Overall, ICZ is predicted to bind the CYP1A1 active site with sub-nanomolar affinity, in a robust configuration that would allow it to compete for substrate access with virtually any CYP1A1 substrate, including more soluble compounds, with charged or polar R-groups, such as UroA. Compounds in this class are less lipophilic than ICZ and are more likely to form electrostatic interactions with conserved residues near the opening of the active site (in SRS regions 1–3; see Figure S4), making it more difficult for them to access the heme center. Our analysis also predicts limited species-specific variability in the binding and recognition of UroA and ICZ by mouse and human forms of CYP1A1. The three amino acid differences found in the mouse CYP1A1 isoform (T111S, S116T, V228T; Figure S4C,D) are inconse-quential with respect to the positioning of functional, electrostatic, or hydrophobic contacts in the CYP1A1 active site. While a large expansion in cavity volume was observed for the mCYP1A1 model (Figure 5C,D), key hydrophobic and electrostatic contacts in SRS regions 1–6 were not disrupted. Mouse CYP1A1 has a predicted active site volume 138.6Å<sup>3</sup> larger than the human isoform due primarily to species-specific differences in the SRS-3 region (see Figure S3D).

### 3.10 | In vitro CYP1A1/1B1 metabolism of ICZ

ICZ modeling data provided us with the likely site of hydroxylation of ICZ at the C2 position. This allowed a synthesis scheme to be devised to synthesize the appropriate ICZ metabolites. Because ICZ is a symmetric molecule that may be hydroxylated at two sites, we synthesized both mono- and di-hydroxylated ICZ derivative. LC-MS/MS and NMR analysis confirmed the identity of 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ and 2-hydroxy-ICZ (Figures S8 and S9). Using these compounds as standards we examined the ability of CYP1A1 to metabolize ICZ in a P450 microsomal assay system. Metabolism resulted in the formation of a peak at 7.9 min with the parent ion of 289.09 that match 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ standard (Figure 6A-C). Under the conditions of the assay, 2-hydroxy-ICZ was not detected. Coincubation of UroA with ICZ in the P450 microsomal assay led to the inhibition of ICZ metabolism to 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ (Figure 6D). In addition, ICZ can inhibit UroA metabolism to UroC (Figure 6E,F).

### 3.11 | 2,8-Dihydroxy-ICZ fails to activate the AHR and is a poor CYP1A1 substrate/inhibitor

CYP1A1 metabolizes ICZ and likely attenuates ICZ-AHR signaling, thus setting up a negative feedback loop. To

**LD** Journal



test this concept, we examined the ability of the downstream CYP1A1 metabolites of ICZ, 2-hydroxy-ICZ, and 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ, to activate the AHR in a cell-based

AHR reporter cell line Hepa 1.1 assay. In comparison to ICZ, 2-hydroxy-ICZ exhibits ~550-fold less activation potential based on the  $ED_{25}$  dose (Figure 6G), while

**FIGURE 4** Tissue-specific modulation of *Cyp1a1* by UroA. (A) Germ-free mice were fed a semi-purified diet with or without 4 mg of UroA/g of food or 125 µg of indole-3-carbinol/g of food for 3 days and *Cyp1a1* levels were determined. (B and C) Conventional mice were fed a semi-purified diet for 1 week followed by semi-purified diet +10% broccoli or semi-purified diet +10% broccoli +4 mg UroA/g of food for 3 days and *Cyp1a1* measured in the indicated tissues. *Cyp1a1* mRNA levels were normalized to *Actb*. Statistical difference was analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons (A) and two-tailed unpaired Student's *t* tests (B and C). Data are presented as mean + SEM (error bars). \*\*p < .001, \*\*\*p < .001, \*\*\*p < .001. **P** and **B** stand for semi-purified diet or semi-purified diet + broccoli, respectively.



**FIGURE 5** ICZ and UroA competitive docking models of human CYP1A1. (A) The nature of ICZ binding to the human CYP1A1 substrate pocket was explored using Autodock 4.2 and Vina with a very consistent conformation that positions the C2 carbon 4.5 Å from the heme center. (B) ICZ superimposed over both low- and high-energy docking positions for UroA (shown in transparent orange and green sticks). (C) Our computational docking model for human CYP1A1 was derived from crystal structural coordinates (PDB: 4I8V; copy A). Using CAVER 3.0, we calculated a square active site cavity for human CYP1A1 of 801.2Å<sup>3</sup>. (D) A homology model for mouse CYP1A1 was developed using the human crystal structure and the SWISS-MODEL server.

2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ has minimal to no activation potential at the doses tested. Next, whether 2-hydroxy-ICZ and 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ can antagonize ICZ-mediated AHR activation was tested, and neither ICZ metabolite was able to significantly antagonize ICZ (Figure 6H). In contrast, the AHR antagonist GNF351 effectively antagonized ICZ. We have shown that ICZ is readily metabolized by CYP1A1, thus whether 2,8- dihydroxy-ICZ is also a substrate or inhibitor of CYP1A1 was tested. In the P450 microsomal assay, 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ failed to exhibit a dose-dependent inhibition of CYP1A1 activity (Figure 6I). Thus, 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ appears to be the terminal CYP1A1 metabolite and is neither an AHR ligand nor the CYP1A1 substrate. These data establish the AHRdependent expression and metabolic potential of CYP1A1 as a potent negative feedback regulator of ICZ-mediated AHR activity.

### 3.12 | Support for the ICZ-AHR-gutlung axis

We wanted to further explore the hypothesis that ICZ enters the lymphatic system leading to enhanced AHR activation in the heart and lungs. *Cyp1a1* expression in the duodenum, liver, and lung was assessed in  $Ahr^{+/+}$  mice

13 of 19



FIGURE 6 CYP1A1/1B1 metabolism of ICZ. (A) In vitro microsomal metabolism of ICZ results in the formation of 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ. (B) MS/MS spectral similarity score confirms the identity of 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ. (C) Scheme depicting the CYP1A1/1B1 metabolism of ICZ. (D) Urolithin A is capable of attenuating ICZ metabolism to 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ in a microsomal metabolism assay. (E) ICZ can inhibit the metabolism of UroA in a microsomal metabolism assay. (F) ICZ can inhibit the formation of UroC from UroA in a microsomal metabolism assay. (G) Cyp1a1 mRNA levels were assessed in Hepa 1.1 cell lines treated with various concentrations of ICZ, 2-hydroxy-ICZ, and 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ. (H) Hepa 1.1 cells were treated with ICZ in the absence or the presence of 1 µM 2-hydroxy-ICZ, 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ, or the AHR antagonist GNF351 and AHR reporter activity assessed. (I) The in vitro microsomal assay was performed using Hepa 1.1 microsomes exposed to increasing concentrations of 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ. Cyp1a1 mRNA levels were normalized to Actb. Statistical difference was analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Tukey's multiple comparisons. Data are presented as mean + SEM (error bars). \*p < .05, \*\*p < .01, \*\*\*\*p <.0001.

on a chow diet. Comparison of lung and duodenal Cyp1a1 expression within individual mice revealed a significant positive correlation (Figure 7A). In contrast, the comparison of individual liver and duodenal Cyp1a1 expression within the treatment group did not significantly correlate (Figure 7B). Next, we utilized the surfactant Pluronic L-81 previously demonstrated to disrupt chylomicron formation in the intestinal tract and thus uptake into the lymphatic system.<sup>43,44</sup>  $Ahr^{+/+}$  mice were orally administered 20 mg of broccoli slurry with or without Pluronic L-81, after 12h tissues were isolated, and Cyp1a1 mRNA levels were assessed. The ratio of hepatic/pulmonary Cyp1a1 revealed that Pluronic L-81 increased the Cyp1a1 ratio (Figure 7C). This data set was also plotted on an individual mouse basis and demonstrated that Pluronic L-81 decreased broccolimediated pulmonary Cyp1a1 expression (Figure 7D). This



FIGURE 7 Assessment of possible lymphatic spread of an AHR ligand. (A) Significant correlation of pulmonary Cyp1a1 duodenal Cyp1a1 mRNA levels in C57BL6/J mice on a chow diet. (B) Lack of correlation between hepatic and duodenal Cyp1a1 mRNA levels in C57BL6/J mice on a chow diet. (C) Pluronic L-81 increases the ratio of hepatic/pulmonary Cyp1a1 cotreatment upon oral administration of a broccoli slurry. (D) The relationship between hepatic and pulmonary Cyp1a1 expression in individual mice from panel C. Cyp1a1 mRNA levels were normalized to Actb. Statistical difference was analyzed using unpaired Student's t test, n = 5/group. Mean hepatic/pulmonary Cyp1a1 ratio ± SEM was calculated, and significance was assessed. Data are presented as mean + SEM (error bars). \*\*p < .01. Pearson correlation coefficient analysis was utilized in panels A and B, n = 15.

data further supports the concept that ICZ is entering the lymphatic system.

#### DISCUSSION 4

Plants have evolved to synthesize secondary metabolites to deter consumption by herbivores and omnivores. In parallel, such animals have adaptively coevolved detoxification strategies utilizing a broad family of cytochrome P-450 (CYP) enzymes to counteract xenobiotic secondary metabolite toxicity following dietary consumption. The substrate specificity of CYP varies widely as well as the tissue-specific pattern of expression, which in effect leads to the ability to metabolize a wide spectrum of phytochemicals and other xenobiotics. CYP1A1 substrate specificity includes many hydrophobic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, flavones, and quinolines. CYP1A1 is expressed in barrier tissues such as the skin, lung, and intestinal tract and appears to be highest in the liver and lung. Relatively high-affinity CYP1A1 substrates, in general, contain three or more ring structures, typified by

7-ethoxyresorufin, many polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (e.g., benzo(a)pyrene), and a variety of naturally occurring flavones.<sup>45</sup> These structural attributes are strikingly similar to high-affinity AHR ligands. Thus, CYP1A1 can serve as a negative feedback loop to limit AHR activation.<sup>20</sup> The endogenous high-affinity ligand FICZ has been demonstrated to be subject to this feedback loop.<sup>46,47</sup> Depending upon relative concentrations, a compound that exhibits weak AHR binding capacity and manifests as a CYP1A1 substrate or an inhibitor could therefore increase the half-life of a more potent AHR ligand and lead to Cyp1a1 induction. Indeed, this has been observed in cell culture experiments utilizing quercetin and resveratrol as CYP1A1 substrates and FICZ as a high-affinity AHR ligand.<sup>48</sup> In this report, the concept of an AHR-ICZ negative feedback loop was confirmed by the observation that CYP1A1 metabolizes ICZ to 2,8-dihydroxy-ICZ, leading to inactivation of AHR activation potential, and thus completion of the negative AHR feedback loop.

In the intestinal tract, especially the duodenum, enterocytes express significant amounts of CYP1A1 that metabolically restricts systemic circulation of a specific

### FASEB Journal

subset of AHR ligands that also manifest as CYP1A1 substrates.<sup>23</sup> This was first demonstrated in vivo by the observation that the deletion of ARNT expression from the intestinal epithelium leads to extra-intestinal AHR activation, likely due to a lack of intestinal CYP1A1 expression.<sup>49</sup> Conversely, constitutive expression of CYP1A1 in the intestinal epithelial cells leads to a depleted AHR activation potential, resulting in significant changes in type 3 innate lymphoid cells within the intestinal tract.<sup>21</sup> We have previously demonstrated in mice that the lung exhibits the highest level of constitutive CYP1A1 expression compared with the heart, liver, and kidney.<sup>20</sup> In addition, the lung also exhibited the highest level of AHR activation potential in organic solvent tissue extracts from  $Ahr^{-/-}$  mice, thus indicating significant levels of AHR ligands.<sup>20</sup> However, these results did not address whether lung AHR activation is from a locally produced AHR ligand or from systemic circulation. The presence of a proximal to distal basal (and inducible) Cyp1a1 gradient within the small intestine would suggest that small intestinal CYP1A1 metabolism of broccoli-derived ICZ would restrict its systemic distribution.<sup>23</sup> Such digestive metabolism is consistent with the protective role of the intestine to limit systemic exposure to potentially toxic dietary secondary metabolites. Conversely, loss of AHR and thus CYP1A1 expression within the duodenum of *Vil<sup>Cre</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup>* mice appears to increase systemic ICZ distribution, as evidenced by lung Cyp1a1 induction relative to a control diet. A comparison between Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup> and *Vil<sup>Cre</sup>Ahr<sup>fl/fl</sup>* mice exposed to a broccoli diet reveals similar levels of hepatic Cyp1a1 expression, suggesting equivalent systemic ICZ delivery to the liver. In contrast, a nonequivalent Cyp1a1 induction is observed within the lung, with the absence of intestinal AHR expression resulting in enhanced pulmonary Cyp1a1 expression relative to controls.

The presence of UroA in a broccoli diet yielded a similar Cyp1a1 tissue-specific induction pattern as that observed in mice without intestinal CYP1A1 expression. Indeed, the addition of UroA to a broccoli diet selectively increases lung and heart AHR activation, while no effect on AHR activation in the liver was observed. A likely explanation for the tissue-specific AHR activation pattern observed upon consumption of UroA and ICZ is that UroA acts as a competitive CYP1A1 substrate in the intestinal tract and allows a proportion of ICZ to "escape" resulting in systemic circulation. This is supported by the enhanced *Cyp1a1* induction observed in the lung in mice that do not express AHR in the intestinal epithelium. However, this does not explain the lack of induction in the liver. Considering the hydrophobicity of ICZ, it is likely that some of this compound partitions into chylomicrons within enterocytes that are subsequently transported into

the lymphatic system, thus bypassing the liver. The lymphatic system delivers its contents into the subclavian vein and enters the heart, followed by pulmonary circulation. This concept is consistent with other reports of a gut-lung axis.<sup>50</sup> Interestingly, the second highest constitutive Cyp1a1 mRNA level among the tissues examined was observed in the heart.<sup>20</sup> Indeed, pharmaceutical companies have designed drugs that when taken orally directly enter the lymphatic system, bypassing liver metabolism prior to systemic circulation.<sup>51</sup> However, additional studies will be needed to firmly establish the role of the lymphatic system in the observed ICZ/gut/lung phenotype. The ability of UroA to increase duodenal and lung activation when mice are on a semi-purified diet was unexpected. One possible explanation is that there are ligands that are generated but rapidly metabolized in the absence of phytochemicals. Clearly, additional studies are also needed to address the mechanism of this observation. Our data support the concept of unequal tissue biodistribution of ICZ in mice, which we demonstrate is likely a consequence of enhanced "escape" due to diminished CYP1A1 metabolism in the intestinal tract. This would facilitate increased lymphatic ICZ uptake from the intestine and transport via the thoracic duct directly to the lung, thus bypassing portal transport and hepatic first-pass metabolism.

The ligand specificity and activation potential of the hAHR varies considerably from the mAHR. Xenobiotics such as TCDD and PAHs have about 10-fold higher affinity for the mAHR versus hAHR.<sup>52</sup> In contrast, many tryptophan metabolites such as indirubin, indole, 3-methyl indole, and kynurenic acid have significantly higher activation potential for the hAHR compared with the mAHR.<sup>53</sup> Urolithins are hAHR antagonists, yet fail to bind to the mAHR.<sup>15</sup> That would imply that in mice urolithins are CYP1A1 substrates and not AHR ligands. In contrast, in humans, the influence of urolithins on AHR activity would likely be more complicated. Thus, in humans, the presence of ICZ and urolithins would be more difficult to predict and may well be dependent on whether urolithins exhibit higher affinity as CYP1A1 competitive substrates compared with AHR antagonist potential. Indeed, considering the low nM AHR activation potential and affinity of ICZ in humans, it is likely that urolithins would function more as mediators of increased ICZ half-life.54,55 The CYP1A1 modeling data presented here support the concept that ICZ is a better substrate for CYP1A1 than UroA. Nevertheless, the more abundant UroA could still effectively compete with the low level of ICZ produced from broccoli consumption. Finally, in a complex mixture of phytochemicals from a plant diet it will be difficult to predict the level of systemic or even intestinal AHR activation.

### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Gary H. Perdew and Craig Marcus designed the research. Fangcong Dong completed the in vitro microsomal assays and the qRT-PCR. Denise M. Coslo performed the capillary immunoblotting analysis. Iain A. Murray completed the mouse experiments; Andrew Annalora performed the computational docking analysis; Fangcong Dong, Andrew D. Patterson, Craig Marcus, Andrew Annalora, and Iain A. Murray contributed to data analysis. Gary H. Perdew, Andrew D. Patterson, Iain A. Murray, and Andrew Annalora wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank John Esslinger and Brian Campbell for providing the broccoli used in this study. We also thank Juan Carlos Espin and Francisco Tomás for the series of urolithin compounds and Patrick Tso for generously providing the Pluronic L-81. We thank Marcia H. Perdew for critically reviewing this manuscript.

### FUNDING INFORMATION

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health Grants ES028244 (GHP), ES028288 (ADP), and S10OD021750. This work was also supported by the USDA National Institute of Food and Federal Appropriations under Project PEN04607 and Accession number 7000371.

### DISCLOSURES

The authors declare no competing interests or conflicts.

### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data reported in this article will be shared by the lead contact upon request. The paper does not report the original code. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request. The metabolomics data is available at the NIH Common Fund's National Metabolomics Data Repository (NMDR) website, the Metabolomics Workbench, https://www.metabolomicsworkbench.org where it has been assigned Study ID ST002481. The data can be accessed directly via its Project DOI: https://doi.org/10.21228/M8H131.

### ORCID

Fangcong Dong b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6423-5816 Iain A. Murray b https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1535-375X Krishne Gowda b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6042-0520 Andrew D. Patterson b https://orcid.

### org/0000-0003-2073-0070

*Gary H. Perdew* https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6288-8445

#### REFERENCES

1. Beischlag TV, Luis Morales J, Hollingshead BD, Perdew GH. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor complex and the control of gene expression. *Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr.* 2008;18:207-250.

**FASEB** Journal

- Perdew GH. Comparison of the nuclear and cytosolic forms of the Ah receptor from Hepa 1c1c7 cells: charge heterogeneity and ATP binding properties. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 1991;291:284-290.
- Stockinger B, Di Meglio P, Gialitakis M, Duarte JH. The aryl hydrocarbon receptor: multitasking in the immune system. *Annu Rev Immunol.* 2014;32:403-432.
- Haas K, Weighardt H, Deenen R, et al. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor in keratinocytes is essential for murine skin barrier integrity. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2016;136:2260-2269.
- Abbott BD, Schmid JE, Pitt JA, et al. Adverse reproductive outcomes in the transgenic Ah receptor-deficient mouse. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.* 1999;155:62-70.
- 6. Scott SA, Fu J, Chang PV. Microbial tryptophan metabolites regulate gut barrier function via the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2020;117:19376-19387.
- van den Bogaard EH, Podolsky MA, Smits JP, et al. Genetic and pharmacological analysis identifies a physiological role for the AHR in epidermal differentiation. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2015;135:1320-1328.
- Benson JM, Shepherd DM. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor activation by TCDD reduces inflammation associated with Crohn's disease. *Toxicol Sci.* 2011;120:68-78.
- 9. Takamura T, Harama D, Matsuoka S, et al. Activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor pathway may ameliorate dextran sodium sulfate-induced colitis in mice. *Immunol Cell Biol.* 2010;88:685-689.
- Monteleone I, Rizzo A, Sarra M, et al. Aryl hydrocarbon receptorinduced signals up-regulate IL-22 production and inhibit inflammation in the gastrointestinal tract. *Gastroenterology*. 2011;141:237-248.e1.
- Julliard W, De Wolfe TJ, Fechner JH, Safdar N, Agni R, Mezrich JD. Amelioration of Clostridium difficile infection in mice by dietary supplementation with Indole-3-carbinol. *Ann Surg.* 2017;265:1183-1191.
- Bjeldanes LF, Kim JY, Grose KR, Bartholomew JC, Bradfield CA. Aromatic hydrocarbon responsiveness-receptor agonists generated from indole-3-carbinol in vitro and in vivo: comparisons with 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1991;88:9543-9547.
- 13. Hubbard TD, Murray IA, Nichols RG, et al. Dietary broccoli impacts microbial community structure and attenuates chemically induced colitis in mice in an Ah receptor dependent manner. *J Funct Foods*. 2017;37:685-698.
- Kasimsetty SG, Bialonska D, Reddy MK, Thornton C, Willett KL, Ferreira D. Effects of pomegranate chemical constituents/ intestinal microbial metabolites on CYP1B1 in 22Rv1 prostate cancer cells. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2009;57:10636-10644.
- Muku GE, Murray IA, Espin JC, Perdew GH. Urolithin a is a dietary microbiota-derived human aryl hydrocarbon receptor antagonist. *Metabolites*. 2018;8:86.
- 16. Cerda B, Tomas-Barberan FA, Espin JC. Metabolism of antioxidant and chemopreventive ellagitannins from strawberries, raspberries, walnuts, and oak-aged wine in humans:

### FASEBJournal

identification of biomarkers and individual variability. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2005;53:227-235.

- Alfei S, Marengo B, Zuccari G. Oxidative stress, antioxidant capabilities, and bioavailability: ellagic acid or urolithins? *Antioxidants (Basel)*. 2020;9:707.
- Vondracek J, Machala M. The role of metabolism in toxicity of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and their non-genotoxic modes of action. *Curr Drug Metab.* 2021;22:584-595.
- Wei YD, Bergander L, Rannug U, Rannug A. Regulation of CYP1A1 transcription via the metabolism of the tryptophanderived 6-formylindolo[3,2-b]carbazole. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2000;383:99-107.
- 20. Chiaro CR, Patel RD, Marcus CB, Perdew GH. Evidence for an aryl hydrocarbon receptor-mediated cytochrome p450 autoregulatory pathway. *Mol Pharmacol*. 2007;72:1369-1379.
- Schiering C, Wincent E, Metidji A, et al. Feedback control of AHR signalling regulates intestinal immunity. *Nature*. 2017;542:242-245.
- 22. DiNatale BC, Smith K, John K, Krishnegowda G, Amin SG, Perdew GH. Ah receptor antagonism represses head and neck tumor cell aggressive phenotype. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2012;10:1369-1379.
- 23. Zhou X, Chakraborty D, Murray IA, et al. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor activation coordinates mouse small intestinal epithelial cell programming. *Lab Invest*. 2023;103:100012.
- 24. Morris GM, Huey R, Lindstrom W, et al. AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. *J Comput Chem.* 2009;30:2785-2791.
- 25. Eberhardt J, Santos-Martins D, Tillack AF, Forli S. AutoDock Vina 1.2.0: new docking methods, expanded force field, and python bindings. *J Chem Inf Model*. 2021;61:3891-3898.
- Trott O, Olson AJ. AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *J Comput Chem.* 2010;31:455-461.
- Walsh AA, Szklarz GD, Scott EE. Human cytochrome P450 1A1 structure and utility in understanding drug and xenobiotic metabolism. *J Biol Chem.* 2013;288:12932-12943.
- Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, et al. SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018;46:W296-W303.
- Colovos C, Yeates TO. Verification of protein structures: patterns of nonbonded atomic interactions. *Protein Sci.* 1993;2:1511-1519.
- Bowie JU, Luthy R, Eisenberg D. A method to identify protein sequences that fold into a known three-dimensional structure. *Science*. 1991;253:164-170.
- Luthy R, Bowie JU, Eisenberg D. Assessment of protein models with three-dimensional profiles. *Nature*. 1992;356:83-85.
- 32. Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, et al. UCSF ChimeraX: structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci.* 2021;30:70-82.
- Croll TI. ISOLDE: a physically realistic environment for model building into low-resolution electron-density maps. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol.* 2018;74:519-530.
- Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. J Mol Graph. 1996;14(33–38):27-38.
- 35. Phillips JC, Braun R, Wang W, et al. Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. *J Comput Chem*. 2005;26:1781-1802.
- Ribeiro JV, Bernardi RC, Rudack T, et al. QwikMD integrative molecular dynamics toolkit for novices and experts. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:26536.

- Annalora AJ, Goodin DB, Hong WX, Zhang Q, Johnson EF, Stout CD. Crystal structure of CYP24A1, a mitochondrial cytochrome P450 involved in vitamin D metabolism. *J Mol Biol.* 2010;396:441-451.
- 38. Schrodinger LDW. PyMOL. 2020.
- Velazquez-Libera JL, Duran-Verdugo F, Valdes-Jimenez A, Nunez-Vivanco G, Caballero J. LigRMSD: a web server for automatic structure matching and RMSD calculations among identical and similar compounds in protein-ligand docking. *Bioinformatics*. 2020;36:2912-2914.
- 40. Chovancova E, Pavelka A, Benes P, et al. CAVER 3.0: a tool for the analysis of transport pathways in dynamic protein structures. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2012;8:e1002708.
- 41. Stein SE, Scott DR. Optimization and testing of mass spectral library search algorithms for compound identification. *J Am Soc Mass Spectrom.* 1994;5:859-866.
- 42. Kwon CS, Grose KR, Riby J, Chen YH, Bjeldanes LF. In-vivo production and enzyme-inducing activity of indole[3,2-B] carbazole. *J Agr Food Chem*. 1994;42:2536-2540.
- Tso P, Gollamudi SR. Pluronic L-81: a potent inhibitor of the transport of intestinal chylomicrons. *Am J Physiol.* 1984;247:G32-G36.
- 44. Dahan A, Hoffman A. Evaluation of a chylomicron flow blocking approach to investigate the intestinal lymphatic transport of lipophilic drugs. *Eur J Pharm Sci.* 2005;24:381-388.
- Dutkiewicz Z, Mikstacka R. Structure-based drug design for cytochrome P450 family 1 inhibitors. *Bioinorg Chem Appl.* 2018;2018:3924608.
- Wincent E, Amini N, Luecke S, et al. The suggested physiologic aryl hydrocarbon receptor activator and cytochrome P4501 substrate 6-formylindolo[3,2-b]carbazole is present in humans. J Biol Chem. 2009;284:2690-2696.
- 47. Wincent E, Bengtsson J, Mohammadi Bardbori A, et al. Inhibition of cytochrome P4501-dependent clearance of the endogenous agonist FICZ as a mechanism for activation of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012;109:4479-4484.
- Mohammadi-Bardbori A, Bengtsson J, Rannug U, Rannug A, Wincent E. Quercetin, resveratrol, and curcumin are indirect activators of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AHR). *Chem Res Toxicol.* 2012;25:1878-1884.
- 49. Ito S, Chen C, Satoh J, Yim S, Gonzalez FJ. Dietary phytochemicals regulate whole-body CYP1A1 expression through an arylhydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator-dependent system in gut. *J Clin Invest*. 2007;117:1940-1950.
- 50. Enaud R, Prevel R, Ciarlo E, et al. The gut-lung Axis in health and respiratory diseases: a place for inter-organ and inter-kingdom Crosstalks. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* 2020;10:9.
- Trevaskis NL, Kaminskas LM, Porter CJ. From sewer to saviour

   targeting the lymphatic system to promote drug exposure and activity. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2015;14:781-803.
- Ramadoss P, Perdew GH. Use of 2-azido-3-[1251]iodo-7,8dibromodibenzo-p-dioxin as a probe to determine the relative ligand affinity of human versus mouse aryl hydrocarbon receptor in cultured cells. *Mol Pharmacol.* 2004;66:129-136.
- 53. Hubbard TD, Murray IA, Perdew GH. Indole and tryptophan metabolism: endogenous and dietary routes to Ah receptor activation. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2015;43:1522-1535.
- 54. Gillner M, Bergman J, Cambillau C, Fernstrom B, Gustafsson JA. Interactions of indoles with specific binding sites for 2,3,

19 of 19

7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin in rat liver. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1985;28:357-363.

55. Wei YD, Helleberg H, Rannug U, Rannug A. Rapid and transient induction of CYP1A1 gene expression in human cells by the tryptophan photoproduct 6-formylindolo[3,2-b]carbazole. *Chem Biol Interact.* 1998;110:39-55.

### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article. **How to cite this article:** Dong F, Murray IA, Annalora A, et al. Complex chemical signals dictate Ah receptor activation through the gut–lung axis. *The FASEB Journal.* 2023;37:e23010. doi:<u>10.1096/</u> <u>fj.202300703R</u>